

# **South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole & Pardon Services**



Annual Statistical Report  
Fiscal Year 2005



## Message from the Director

---

The Fiscal Year 2004-05 Annual Statistical Report is attached for your review and reference. This report provides a basic statistical representation of the work of more than 711 agents and employees of this Department. While there have been many challenges over the course of the year, I am pleased to report that more than sixty-five out of every hundred offenders successfully completed supervision. The Bureau of Justice Statistics of the U.S. Department of Justice (2001) reported nationally that approximately 62% of 3.9 million offenders had successfully met the conditions of their supervision.

The South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 48,848 offenders who were under the legal jurisdiction of the Department in FY 05. The Department promotes public safety and offender compliance through ensuring that our interventions promote the offender's ability to make positive changes in his or her life. In addition, our interventions are responses focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some of the more commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents.

For the reader who wishes additional information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact Tony Dukes, Research Director of the Office of Program Development and Grants Management at 734-3503.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sam B. Glover", is positioned below the word "Sincerely,".

Samuel B. Glover  
Director



## To the Reader

---

The reader should be aware, that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year. Actives represents only those offenders who were active on June 30, 2005.

At the end of FY 2004-05, there were 48,848 offenders who were under the legal jurisdiction of the Department. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who have been transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision of the Department. At the end of the fiscal year 32,624 offenders were under active supervision of the Department.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. Where possible, missing data or rounding has been indicated.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

### SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Status . . . . .	3
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense . . . . .	4
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race . . . . .	5
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race . . . . .	6
TABLE 4-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision . . . . .	7
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision . . . . .	8
TABLE 5-A	Total Closures by Type . . . . .	9
TABLE 6-A	Rate per Thousand High Risk Male Population . . . . .	10
TABLE 7-A	Rate per Thousand High Risk Female Population . . . . .	11
TABLE 8-A	Offender Drug Testing . . . . .	12
TABLE 9-A	Total Admissions by Age . . . . .	13

### SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense . . . . .	15
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race . . . . .	16
TABLE 3-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision . . . . .	17
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision . . . . .	18
TABLE 4-B	Probation Closures by Type . . . . .	19
TABLE 5-B	Probation Admissions by Age . . . . .	20

### SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense . . . . .	22
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race . . . . .	23
TABLE 3-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision . . . . .	24
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision . . . . .	25
TABLE 4-C	Parole Closures by Type . . . . .	26
TABLE 5-C	Parole Admissions by Age . . . . .	27

### SECTION D---YOA

TABLE 1-D	YOA Admissions by Type of Offense . . . . .	29
TABLE 2-D	YOA Admissions by Gender & Race . . . . .	30
TABLE 3-D	Active YOA Offenders by Level of Supervision . . . . .	31
FIGURE 5	Active YOA Offenders by Level of Supervision . . . . .	32
TABLE 4-D	YOA Closures by Type . . . . .	33
TABLE 5-D	YOA Admissions by Age . . . . .	34

## SECTION E---VIOLATIONS

TABLE 1-E	Violations by County . . . . .	36
TABLE 2-E	Closings by Type . . . . .	37

## SUMMARY

CHART 1	Population Characteristics . . . . .	39
CHART 2	Admissions to SCDPPPS . . . . .	40
CHART 3	Violent Admission by Program . . . . .	41

*Section A*  
*Total Population*



## Total Population

---

Tables 1-A through 9-A and Figures 1-2, represent admissions to the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS) over FY 04-05. These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 04-05, there were a total of 19,386 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "Hispanic, Native American, or Other", they have been grouped together and classified as "Other".

Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program status. The counties of Charleston, Greenville, Richland and Spartanburg contributed the largest number of total admissions, together accounting for 33% of all admissions.

Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense, violent versus non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act.<sup>1</sup> Total admissions during FY 04-05 were predominately non-violent with very few admissions (6%) for violent offenses.

Table 3-A and Figure 1 illustrates total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male, 82%, with a racial composition of 55% black, 43% white, and 2% other.

Table 4-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2005. This total does not include new and unclassified offenders, or indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the offender is seen by the Agent. Among all offenders, high level supervision represented 26%, administrative supervision represented 70%, followed by intensive supervision at 4%.

<sup>1</sup> Murder, Armed Robbery, Attempted Armed Robbery, Kidnapping, Carjacking, Criminal Sexual Conduct 1st and 2nd degree, Criminal Sexual Conduct with a Minor, Assault with Intent to Commit Criminal Sexual Conduct 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, Engaging a Child for Sexual Performance, Assault and Battery with Intent to Kill, Voluntary Manslaughter, Drug Trafficking (44-53-370 e), Arson 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, Burglary 1<sup>st</sup> degree, Burglary 2<sup>nd</sup> degree with Aggravating Circumstances, or Accessory Before the Fact to any of the above crimes.

Table 5-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 04-05 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, is included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY 04-05 was 65%. The unsuccessful rate, 35%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense and those instances when the offender was sentenced to prison on a new offense.

Table 6-A presents the relationship between the number of high risk males (ages 17-34) in a county and the number of those males admitted to community supervision. This table illustrates that statewide, for every one thousand males age 17 to 34, 20.4 were admitted to the supervision of the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. County rates range from a low of 10.0 to a high of 36.1. This number is limited to new admissions for the fiscal year and does not reflect the percentage of active offenders in this age category.

Table 7-A demonstrates the relationship between the number of high risk females (ages 17-34) in a county and the number of those females admitted to community supervision. This table illustrates that statewide, for every one thousand females age 17 to 34, 4.0 were admitted to the supervision of the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. County rates range from a low of 0.4 to a high of 8.1. This number is limited to new admissions for the fiscal year and does not reflect the percentage of active offenders in this age category.

Table 8-A shows drug testing activity during FY 04-05. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested, the number of individuals testing positive, the number of times offenders were tested, and the total number of positive tests.

Table 9-A describes offender admissions by age category.



**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY STATUS  
TABLE 1-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PROB. ADM.</b>	<b>PERCENT PROB.</b>	<b>PAROLE ADM.</b>	<b>PERCENT PAROLE</b>	<b>YOA ADM.</b>	<b>PERCENT YOA</b>	<b>TOTAL ADM.</b>
ABBEVILLE	114	84%	17	13%	5	4%	136
AIKEN	428	84%	51	10%	31	6%	510
ALLENDALE	45	82%	3	5%	7	13%	55
ANDERSON	715	85%	79	9%	46	5%	840
BAMBERG	50	77%	9	14%	6	9%	65
BARNWELL	72	80%	8	9%	10	11%	90
BEAUFORT	338	88%	26	7%	19	5%	383
BERKELEY	476	86%	32	6%	45	8%	553
CALHOUN	56	85%	3	5%	7	11%	66
CHARLESTON	1,435	82%	89	5%	220	13%	1,744
CHEROKEE	281	88%	21	7%	18	6%	320
CHESTER	81	73%	11	10%	19	17%	111
CHESTERFIELD	132	76%	21	12%	21	12%	174
CLARENDON	128	81%	17	11%	14	9%	159
COLLETON	104	81%	12	9%	13	10%	129
DARLINGTON	193	80%	26	11%	22	9%	241
DILLON	156	82%	15	8%	19	10%	190
DORCHESTER	419	86%	31	6%	39	8%	489
EDGEFIELD	96	84%	8	7%	10	9%	114
FAIRFIELD	66	83%	4	5%	10	13%	80
FLORENCE	445	76%	79	13%	64	11%	588
GEORGETOWN	311	87%	30	8%	18	5%	359
GREENVILLE	1,639	85%	199	10%	90	5%	1,928
GREENWOOD	303	80%	26	7%	48	13%	377
HAMPTON	56	84%	6	9%	5	7%	67
HORRY	958	89%	79	7%	37	3%	1,074
JASPER	88	73%	15	13%	17	14%	120
KERSHAW	143	81%	22	13%	11	6%	176
LANCASTER	336	90%	16	4%	20	5%	372
LAURENS	384	86%	38	8%	27	6%	449
LEE	94	89%	8	8%	4	4%	106
LEXINGTON	820	92%	46	5%	25	3%	891
MCCORMICK	41	85%	5	10%	2	4%	48
MARION	142	73%	29	15%	23	12%	194
MARLBORO	135	78%	18	10%	21	12%	174
NEWBERRY	194	84%	23	10%	15	6%	232
OCONEE	327	90%	22	6%	14	4%	363
ORANGEBURG	325	80%	46	11%	35	9%	406
PICKENS	308	83%	39	11%	23	6%	370
RICHLAND	1,252	82%	158	10%	115	8%	1,525
SALUDA	65	80%	7	9%	9	11%	81
SPARTANBURG	995	85%	100	9%	79	7%	1,174
SUMTER	454	83%	45	8%	48	9%	547
UNION	173	82%	23	11%	15	7%	211
WILLIAMSBURG	104	76%	21	15%	11	8%	136
YORK	743	81%	98	11%	80	9%	921
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	26	54%	18	38%	4	8%	48
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>16,246</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>1,699</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>1,441</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>19,386</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 2-A**


COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADM.
ABBEVILLE	8	6%	128	94%	136
AIKEN	33	6%	477	94%	510
ALLENDALE	0	0%	56	100%	56
ANDERSON	65	8%	779	92%	844
BAMBERG	4	6%	61	94%	65
BARNWELL	5	6%	85	94%	90
BEAUFORT	21	5%	364	95%	385
BERKELEY	24	4%	530	96%	554
CALHOUN	2	3%	64	97%	66
CHARLESTON	96	6%	1,649	94%	1,745
CHEROKEE	23	7%	298	93%	321
CHESTER	7	6%	105	94%	112
CHESTERFIELD	10	6%	164	94%	174
CLARENDON	12	8%	147	92%	159
COLLETON	4	3%	125	97%	129
DARLINGTON	18	7%	224	93%	242
DILLON	5	3%	187	97%	192
DORCHESTER	31	6%	458	94%	489
EDGEFIELD	6	5%	108	95%	114
FAIRFIELD	7	9%	73	91%	80
FLORENCE	23	4%	567	96%	590
GEORGETOWN	14	4%	347	96%	361
GREENVILLE	113	6%	1,824	94%	1,937
GREENWOOD	20	5%	357	95%	377
HAMPTON	3	4%	65	96%	68
HORRY	47	4%	1,027	96%	1,074
JASPER	9	7%	112	93%	121
KERSHAW	9	5%	169	95%	178
LANCASTER	26	7%	347	93%	373
LAURENS	10	2%	442	98%	452
LEE	9	8%	97	92%	106
LEXINGTON	51	6%	840	94%	891
MCCORMICK	3	6%	45	94%	48
MARION	8	4%	186	96%	194
MARLBORO	11	6%	166	94%	177
NEWBERRY	5	2%	227	98%	232
OCONEE	15	4%	348	96%	363
ORANGEBURG	26	6%	382	94%	408
PICKENS	16	4%	354	96%	370
RICHLAND	107	7%	1,422	93%	1,529
SALUDA	4	5%	77	95%	81
SPARTANBURG	69	6%	1,107	94%	1,176
SUMTER	34	6%	520	94%	554
UNION	10	5%	201	95%	211
WILLIAMSBURG	11	8%	125	92%	136
YORK	54	6%	868	94%	922
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	5	10%	43	90%	48
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,093</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>18,347</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>19,440</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 3-A  
N=19,443**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT OTHER</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	88%	13%	66%	0%	34%
AIKEN	79%	21%	49%	1%	49%
ALLENDALE	89%	11%	91%	0%	9%
ANDERSON	80%	20%	39%	0%	60%
BAMBERG	83%	17%	75%	0%	25%
BARNWELL	87%	13%	74%	1%	24%
BEAUFORT	87%	13%	57%	3%	40%
BERKELEY	87%	13%	52%	1%	47%
CALHOUN	89%	11%	80%	2%	18%
CHARLESTON	87%	13%	67%	2%	32%
CHEROKEE	83%	17%	37%	2%	61%
CHESTER	87%	13%	63%	0%	38%
CHESTERFIELD	83%	17%	59%	1%	40%
CLARENDON	82%	18%	74%	1%	26%
COLLETON	81%	19%	58%	1%	41%
DARLINGTON	82%	18%	59%	0%	41%
DILLON	85%	15%	67%	4%	29%
DORCHESTER	82%	18%	47%	2%	50%
EDGEFIELD	88%	12%	68%	0%	32%
FAIRFIELD	84%	16%	74%	0%	26%
FLORENCE	84%	16%	71%	2%	28%
GEORGETOWN	84%	16%	62%	1%	37%
GREENVILLE	79%	21%	46%	3%	51%
GREENWOOD	82%	18%	61%	3%	36%
HAMPTON	96%	4%	68%	1%	31%
HORRY	81%	19%	38%	3%	59%
JASPER	80%	20%	67%	3%	30%
KERSHAW	80%	20%	50%	0%	50%
LANCASTER	79%	21%	49%	2%	50%
LAURENS	77%	23%	44%	2%	54%
LEE	87%	13%	76%	1%	23%
LEXINGTON	80%	20%	41%	3%	56%
MCCORMICK	85%	15%	65%	0%	35%
MARION	79%	21%	85%	1%	14%
MARLBORO	85%	15%	63%	6%	31%
NEWBERRY	77%	23%	63%	1%	36%
OCONEE	79%	21%	21%	2%	76%
ORANGEBURG	83%	17%	84%	0%	15%
PICKENS	76%	24%	17%	0%	83%
RICHLAND	80%	20%	79%	1%	20%
SALUDA	75%	25%	65%	4%	31%
SPARTANBURG	78%	22%	45%	1%	53%
SUMTER	84%	16%	73%	1%	26%
UNION	78%	22%	46%	0%	54%
WILLIAMSBURG	89%	11%	83%	0%	17%
YORK	80%	20%	43%	2%	54%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	90%	10%	58%	4%	38%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>43%</b>

# TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY RACE AND GENDER

## FIGURE 1




**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF  
SUPERVISION, JUNE 30, 2005  
TABLE 4-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>ADMIN</b>	<b>HIGH</b>	<b>INTENSIVE</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
ABBEVILLE	70%	29%	1%	221
AIKEN	76%	21%	4%	995
ALLENDALE	79%	18%	3%	96
ANDERSON	70%	27%	4%	1,500
BAMBERG	74%	23%	3%	142
BARNWELL	59%	37%	4%	150
BEAUFORT	73%	23%	3%	503
BERKELEY	71%	27%	3%	934
CALHOUN	65%	31%	4%	104
CHARLESTON	57%	38%	5%	2,562
CHEROKEE	69%	26%	5%	542
CHESTER	64%	25%	11%	170
CHESTERFIELD	61%	35%	4%	201
CLARENDON	75%	20%	5%	241
COLLETON	65%	32%	3%	241
DARLINGTON	70%	26%	3%	411
DILLON	73%	21%	6%	238
DORCHESTER	69%	26%	4%	694
EDGEFIELD	68%	30%	2%	208
FAIRFIELD	59%	40%	1%	155
FLORENCE	72%	24%	4%	1,017
GEORGETOWN	79%	18%	3%	491
GREENVILLE	75%	22%	3%	3,711
GREENWOOD	66%	31%	3%	596
HAMPTON	77%	15%	8%	130
HORRY	76%	21%	3%	1,567
JASPER	74%	23%	2%	243
KERSHAW	60%	36%	5%	334
LANCASTER	69%	29%	3%	501
LAURENS	72%	25%	3%	770
LEE	45%	53%	2%	154
LEXINGTON	70%	27%	3%	1,365
MCCORMICK	58%	38%	4%	69
MARION	73%	17%	10%	245
MARLBORO	66%	26%	8%	248
NEWBERRY	69%	28%	3%	342
OCONEE	67%	32%	1%	551
ORANGEBURG	78%	19%	3%	752
PICKENS	69%	29%	2%	711
RICHLAND	79%	17%	4%	2,906
SALUDA	51%	39%	10%	161
SPARTANBURG	66%	30%	4%	2,122
SUMTER	63%	32%	5%	958
UNION	68%	28%	4%	367
WILLIAMSBURG	61%	34%	4%	272
YORK	69%	26%	4%	1,532
RESTITUTION CENTERS	0%	3%	97%	201
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>4%</b>	
<b>ACTIVE OFFENDERS</b>	<b>22,688</b>	<b>8,511</b>	<b>1,425</b>	<b>32,624</b>

# ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION

FIGURE 2



**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 5-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL RATE</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL RATE</b>
ABBEVILLE	67	63%	39	37%
AIKEN	413	70%	179	30%
ALLENDALE	30	56%	24	44%
ANDERSON	430	60%	289	40%
BAMBERG	60	71%	25	29%
BARNWELL	57	63%	33	37%
BEAUFORT	211	62%	130	38%
BERKELEY	343	73%	129	27%
CALHOUN	45	67%	22	33%
CHARLESTON	1061	65%	578	35%
CHEROKEE	242	72%	96	28%
CHESTER	97	65%	53	35%
CHESTERFIELD	90	57%	68	43%
CLARENDON	88	60%	59	40%
COLLETON	108	72%	42	28%
DARLINGTON	146	55%	119	45%
DILLON	110	63%	65	37%
DORCHESTER	202	62%	125	38%
EDGEFIELD	52	57%	39	43%
FAIRFIELD	64	69%	29	31%
FLORENCE	488	67%	236	33%
GEORGETOWN	221	69%	101	31%
GREENVILLE	856	63%	512	37%
GREENWOOD	225	60%	153	40%
HAMPTON	41	66%	21	34%
HORRY	629	69%	289	31%
JASPER	66	76%	21	24%
KERSHAW	123	56%	98	44%
LANCASTER	170	69%	78	31%
LAURENS	240	68%	112	32%
LEE	71	66%	36	34%
LEXINGTON	498	72%	190	28%
MCCORMICK	40	66%	21	34%
MARION	125	65%	67	35%
MARLBORO	98	54%	85	46%
NEWBERRY	111	63%	64	37%
OCONEE	168	67%	81	33%
ORANGEBURG	349	75%	117	25%
PICKENS	246	74%	85	26%
RICHLAND	844	61%	530	39%
SALUDA	50	54%	42	46%
SPARTANBURG	727	66%	380	34%
SUMTER	364	62%	222	38%
UNION	125	63%	73	37%
WILLIAMSBURG	116	62%	70	38%
YORK	462	64%	257	36%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	144	97%	4	3%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>11,513</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>6,088</b>	<b>35%</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
INMATE RATE PER 1,000 HIGH RISK  
MALE (ADMISSIONS) POPULATION  
TABLE 6-A**

COUNTY	MALE POPULATION AGE 17-34*	SCDPPPS MALES AGE 17-34	RATE PER 1,000 AGE 17-34 OFFENDERS	RANK ORDER RATE PER 1,000 OFFENDERS
ABBEVILLE	2,915	78	26.8	8
AIKEN	15,928	280	17.6	36
ALLENDALE	1,603	41	25.6	11
ANDERSON	18,993	405	21.3	25
BAMBERG	2,087	30	14.4	44
BARNWELL	2,602	58	22.3	22
BEAUFORT	18,413	238	12.9	45
BERKELEY	21,888	341	15.6	43
CALHOUN	1,488	39	26.2	10
CHARLESTON	43,941	1060	24.1	19
CHEROKEE	6,483	185	28.5	6
CHESTER	3,832	71	18.5	30
CHESTERFIELD	5,029	107	21.3	26
CLARENDON	4,189	77	18.4	32
COLLETON	4,050	77	19.0	29
DARLINGTON	7,570	130	17.2	39
DILLON	3,488	126	36.1	1
DORCHESTER	11,113	279	25.1	13
EDGEFIELD	3,843	68	17.7	35
FAIRFIELD	2,565	49	19.1	28
FLORENCE	14,939	376	25.2	12
GEORGETOWN	5,644	201	35.6	2
GREENVILLE	49,263	879	17.8	34
GREENWOOD	8,089	233	28.8	5
HAMPTON	2,901	50	17.2	37
HORRY	24,916	558	22.4	21
JASPER	3,179	69	21.7	23
KERSHAW	5,560	103	18.5	31
LANCASTER	7,921	209	26.4	9
LAURENS	8,449	209	24.7	16
LEE	2,758	68	24.7	17
LEXINGTON	25,618	462	18.0	33
MCCORMICK	1,404	23	16.4	40
MARION	4,035	109	27.0	7
MARLBORO	3,769	116	30.8	4
NEWBERRY	4,378	105	24.0	20
OCONEE	7,401	184	24.9	15
ORANGEBURG	10,724	229	21.4	24
PICKENS	18,560	186	10.0	46
RICHLAND	49,109	789	16.1	42
SALUDA	2,385	39	16.4	41
SPARTANBURG	31,437	540	17.2	38
SUMTER	13,540	333	24.6	18
UNION	3,254	101	31.0	3
WILLIAMSBURG	3,986	78	19.6	27
YORK	19,844	494	24.9	14

STATE TOTAL

**\*DATA FURNISHED BY S.C. STATE DATA CENTER**



**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
RATE PER 1,000 HIGH RISK  
FEMALE (ADMISSIONS) POPULATION  
TABLE 7-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>FEMALE POPULATION AGE 17-34*</b>	<b>SCDPPPS FEMALES AGE 17-34</b>	<b>RATE PER 1,000 AGE 17-34 OFFENDERS</b>	<b>RANK ORDER RATE PER 1,000 OFFENDERS</b>
ABBEVILLE	3,176	11	3.5	30
AIKEN	17,023	59	3.5	29
ALLENDALE	1,184	4	3.4	31
ANDERSON	19,519	95	4.9	14
BAMBERG	2,270	6	2.6	37
BARNWELL	2,747	10	3.6	24
BEAUFORT	13,976	36	2.6	38
BERKELEY	18,012	40	2.2	43
CALHOUN	1,666	5	3.0	34
CHARLESTON	43,604	123	2.8	36
CHEROKEE	6,665	36	5.4	10
CHESTER	4,079	10	2.5	40
CHESTERFIELD	5,096	16	3.1	32
CLARENDON	3,393	17	5.0	12
COLLETON	4,309	11	2.6	39
DARLINGTON	8,302	25	3.0	33
DILLON	3,802	16	4.2	19
DORCHESTER	11,378	41	3.6	26
EDGEFIELD	2,582	4	1.5	44
FAIRFIELD	2,749	11	4.0	22
FLORENCE	16,331	58	3.6	27
GEORGETOWN	5,966	36	6.0	9
GREENVILLE	49,074	206	4.2	21
GREENWOOD	8,937	43	4.8	15
HAMPTON	2,305	1	0.4	46
HORRY	23,882	114	4.8	16
JASPER	2,337	19	8.1	1
KERSHAW	5,815	21	3.6	25
LANCASTER	7,138	45	6.3	7
LAURENS	8,401	60	7.1	5
LEE	2,245	11	4.9	13
LEXINGTON	26,429	111	4.2	20
MCCORMICK	840	2	2.4	41
MARION	4,490	28	6.2	8
MARLBORO	3,375	16	4.7	17
NEWBERRY	4,353	34	7.8	3
OCONEE	7,204	52	7.2	4
ORANGEBURG	12,373	35	2.8	35
PICKENS	16,862	39	2.3	42
RICHLAND	49,742	192	3.9	23
SALUDA	2,174	15	6.9	6
SPARTANBURG	31,676	137	4.3	18
SUMTER	13,402	47	3.5	28
UNION	3,424	27	7.9	2
WILLIAMSBURG	4,368	6	1.4	45
YORK	21,679	114	5.3	11
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>510,354</b>	<b>2,045</b>	<b>4.0</b>	

\*DATA FURNISHED BY S.C. STATE DATA CENTER

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
OFFENDER DRUG TESTING  
TABLE 8-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED</b>	<b>INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE</b>	<b>TOTAL NO. POSITIVE TESTS</b>	<b>NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED</b>
ABBEVILLE	18	1	5.56%	1	20
AIKEN	298	64	21.48%	80	383
ALLENDALE	46	11	23.91%	11	52
ANDERSON	587	263	44.80%	450	868
BAMBERG	86	14	16.28%	18	118
BARNWELL	151	52	34.44%	78	262
BEAUFORT*	238	87	36.55%	126	319
BERKELEY*	405	160	39.51%	262	566
CALHOUN	78	44	56.41%	86	146
CHARLESTON	720	382	53.06%	703	1051
CHEROKEE	259	119	45.95%	205	327
CHESTER	62	29	46.77%	39	79
CHESTERFIELD	127	73	57.48%	132	269
CLARENDON	181	69	38.12%	110	261
COLLETON	93	58	62.37%	96	134
DARLINGTON	495	159	32.12%	266	804
DILLON	38	16	42.11%	20	42
DORCHESTER*	295	108	36.61%	138	395
EDGEFIELD	182	48	26.37%	56	246
FAIRFIELD	124	52	41.94%	75	168
FLORENCE*	345	148	42.90%	239	497
GEORGETOWN	217	98	45.16%	143	281
GREENVILLE*	1596	585	36.65%	1053	2901
GREENWOOD	207	97	46.86%	153	270
HAMPTON	50	15	30.00%	19	59
HORRY	926	274	29.59%	445	1398
JASPER	39	6	15.38%	7	48
KERSHAW	224	108	48.21%	199	360
LANCASTER	145	80	55.17%	146	215
LAURENS	311	180	57.88%	347	495
LEE	17	7	41.18%	9	20
LEXINGTON	507	214	42.21%	323	616
MCCORMICK	17	11	64.71%	16	20
MARION	81	50	61.73%	99	147
MARLBORO	102	39	38.24%	53	138
NEWBERRY	138	65	47.10%	120	241
OCONEE	81	57	70.37%	97	88
ORANGEBURG	639	194	30.36%	254	730
PICKENS	77	38	49.35%	64	86
RICHLAND	1475	616	41.76%	949	2095
SALUDA	134	42	31.34%	55	188
SPARTANBURG	683	275	40.26%	457	875
SUMTER	217	152	70.05%	228	283
UNION	<b>52</b>	<b>20</b>	38.46%	<b>30</b>	<b>61</b>
WILLIAMSBURG	170	93	54.71%	193	267
YORK*	731	297	40.63%	435	974
SPARTANBURG REST. CTR.	63	22	34.92%	31	95
CHARLESTON REST. CTR	205	55	26.83%	74	268
COLUMBIA REST. CTR	354	171		238	466
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>14,286</b>	<b>5,818</b>	<b>40.73%</b>	<b>9,428</b>	<b>20,692</b>

\* Includes Satellite Office

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE  
TABLE 9-A  
N = 19440**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	48	35%	88	65%
AIKEN	181	35%	329	65%
ALLENDALE	25	45%	31	55%
ANDERSON	230	27%	614	73%
BAMBERG	20	31%	45	69%
BARNWELL	38	42%	52	58%
BEAUFORT	146	38%	239	62%
BERKELEY	217	39%	337	61%
CALHOUN	22	33%	44	67%
CHARLESTON	667	38%	1,078	62%
CHEROKEE	118	37%	203	63%
CHESTER	45	40%	67	60%
CHESTERFIELD	63	36%	111	64%
CLARENDON	54	34%	105	66%
COLLETON	62	48%	67	52%
DARLINGTON	83	34%	159	66%
DILLON	80	42%	112	58%
DORCHESTER	190	39%	299	61%
EDGEFIELD	35	31%	79	69%
FAIRFIELD	29	36%	51	64%
FLORENCE	245	42%	345	58%
GEORGETOWN	129	36%	232	64%
GREENVILLE	479	25%	1,458	75%
GREENWOOD	141	37%	236	63%
HAMPTON	32	47%	36	53%
HORRY	330	31%	744	69%
JASPER	52	43%	69	57%
KERSHAW	78	44%	100	56%
LANCASTER	135	36%	238	64%
LAURENS	130	29%	322	71%
LEE	36	34%	70	66%
LEXINGTON	296	33%	595	67%
MCCORMICK	11	23%	37	77%
MARION	79	41%	115	59%
MARLBORO	83	47%	94	53%
NEWBERRY	78	34%	154	66%
OCONEE	122	34%	241	66%
ORANGEBURG	149	37%	259	63%
PICKENS	125	34%	245	66%
RICHLAND	535	35%	994	65%
SALUDA	26	32%	55	68%
SPARTANBURG	301	26%	875	74%
SUMTER	201	36%	353	64%
UNION	67	32%	144	68%
WILLIAMSBURG	44	32%	92	68%
YORK	332	36%	590	64%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	11	23%	37	77%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>6,600</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>12,840</b>	<b>66%</b>

# *Section B*

## *Probation*



## Probation

---

**PROBATION:** The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community sanction which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under SCDPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B and 2-B represent all probation admissions during FY 04-05. Probation includes regular probation, intensive probation and offenders admitted to probation supervision from a split sentence from prison.

Table 1-B breaks down probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent versus non-violent. For FY 04-05 statewide 3% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.

Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male, 79%, with a racial composition of 52% black, 2% other, and 46% white.

Table 3-B and Figure 3 describe the active probation offender population in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2005. This table does not include new and unclassified offenders or indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Among probationers, high level supervision represented 27%, administrative supervision represented 71%, followed by intensive supervision at 2%

Table 4-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). The overall success rate for probationers (65%) was the same as the success rate for the total offender population (65%).

Table 5-B reflects probation admissions by age category.

**S.C DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 1-B**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	1	1%	107	99%	108
AIKEN	13	3%	404	97%	417
ALLENDALE	0	0%	45	100%	45
ANDERSON	26	4%	662	96%	688
BAMBERG	1	2%	49	98%	50
BARNWELL	1	1%	68	99%	69
BEAUFORT	7	2%	319	98%	326
BERKELEY	7	2%	455	98%	462
CALHOUN	1	2%	53	98%	54
CHARLESTON	37	3%	1,358	97%	1,395
CHEROKEE	16	6%	259	94%	275
CHESTER	2	3%	76	97%	78
CHESTERFIELD	7	5%	123	95%	130
CLARENDON	9	7%	114	93%	123
COLLETON	1	1%	98	99%	99
DARLINGTON	5	3%	177	97%	182
DILLON	3	2%	148	98%	151
DORCHESTER	11	3%	395	97%	406
EDGEFIELD	4	4%	90	96%	94
FAIRFIELD	3	5%	59	95%	62
FLORENCE	3	1%	424	99%	427
GEORGETOWN	3	1%	302	99%	305
GREENVILLE	47	3%	1,557	97%	1,604
GREENWOOD	10	4%	273	96%	283
HAMPTON	2	4%	53	96%	55
HORRY	17	2%	915	98%	932
JASPER	2	2%	82	98%	84
KERSHAW	3	2%	136	98%	139
LANCASTER	13	4%	319	96%	332
LAURENS	3	1%	372	99%	375
LEE	4	5%	84	95%	88
LEXINGTON	34	4%	770	96%	804
MCCORMICK	1	3%	39	98%	40
MARION	2	1%	136	99%	138
MARLBORO	5	4%	124	96%	129
NEWBERRY	0	0%	192	100%	192
OCONEE	8	3%	301	97%	309
ORANGEBURG	7	2%	298	98%	305
PICKENS	9	3%	296	97%	305
RICHLAND	48	4%	1,157	96%	1,205
SALUDA	3	5%	59	95%	62
SPARTANBURG	29	3%	934	97%	963
SUMTER	12	3%	421	97%	433
UNION	6	4%	160	96%	166
WILLIAMSBURG	3	3%	95	97%	98
YORK	27	4%	681	96%	708
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	0	0%	24	100%	24
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>456</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>15,263</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>15,719</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-B  
N= 12,833**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT OTHER</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	84%	16%	67%	0%	33%
AIKEN	76%	24%	48%	0%	51%
ALLENDALE	87%	13%	89%	0%	11%
ANDERSON	78%	22%	38%	0%	62%
BAMBERG	84%	16%	74%	0%	26%
BARNWELL	83%	17%	77%	1%	22%
BEAUFORT	86%	14%	54%	2%	44%
BERKELEY	86%	14%	50%	1%	49%
CALHOUN	87%	13%	83%	0%	17%
CHARLESTON	84%	16%	63%	1%	36%
CHEROKEE	83%	17%	34%	2%	64%
CHESTER	85%	15%	63%	0%	37%
CHESTERFIELD	81%	19%	58%	1%	41%
CLARENDON	78%	22%	75%	1%	24%
COLLETON	80%	20%	56%	1%	43%
DARLINGTON	77%	23%	52%	0%	48%
DILLON	84%	16%	64%	4%	32%
DORCHESTER	79%	21%	45%	3%	52%
EDGEFIELD	85%	15%	66%	0%	34%
FAIRFIELD	84%	16%	74%	0%	26%
FLORENCE	80%	20%	65%	2%	33%
GEORGETOWN	81%	19%	60%	1%	40%
GREENVILLE	77%	23%	43%	3%	54%
GREENWOOD	78%	22%	57%	3%	40%
HAMPTON	95%	5%	64%	2%	35%
HORRY	79%	21%	36%	3%	61%
JASPER	75%	25%	68%	5%	27%
KERSHAW	76%	24%	47%	1%	52%
LANCASTER	77%	23%	50%	2%	48%
LAURENS	76%	24%	43%	2%	55%
LEE	85%	15%	77%	0%	23%
LEXINGTON	79%	21%	40%	2%	58%
MCCORMICK	83%	18%	68%	0%	33%
MARION	75%	25%	83%	1%	16%
MARLBORO	81%	19%	59%	8%	33%
NEWBERRY	74%	26%	59%	2%	39%
OCONEE	76%	24%	19%	2%	78%
ORANGEBURG	80%	20%	83%	0%	16%
PICKENS	74%	26%	16%	1%	84%
RICHLAND	78%	22%	77%	1%	22%
SALUDA	69%	31%	63%	3%	34%
SPARTANBURG	76%	24%	42%	1%	57%
SUMTER	81%	19%	72%	1%	28%
UNION	78%	22%	42%	0%	58%
WILLIAMSBURG	86%	14%	79%	0%	21%
YORK	76%	24%	39%	3%	58%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	96%	4%	75%	0%	25%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>46%</b>


**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL  
OF SUPERVISION, JUNE 30, 2005  
TABLE 3-B**

COUNTY	ADMIN	HIGH	INTENSIVE	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	69%	30%	1%	180
AIKEN	78%	21%	1%	803
ALLENDALE	82%	14%	4%	84
ANDERSON	71%	28%	1%	1,241
BAMBERG	76%	23%	2%	123
BARNWELL	60%	40%	0%	106
BEAUFORT	75%	24%	1%	424
BERKELEY	72%	27%	1%	769
CALHOUN	69%	30%	1%	86
CHARLESTON	58%	40%	2%	2,054
CHEROKEE	70%	27%	2%	460
CHESTER	70%	27%	2%	124
CHESTERFIELD	64%	36%	0%	165
CLARENDON	79%	20%	1%	175
COLLETON	69%	31%	0%	185
DARLINGTON	73%	27%	0%	317
DILLON	74%	24%	2%	179
DORCHESTER	70%	29%	1%	565
EDGEFIELD	70%	30%	1%	181
FAIRFIELD	57%	42%	1%	124
FLORENCE	75%	24%	1%	760
GEORGETOWN	82%	18%	0%	415
GREENVILLE	76%	22%	1%	3,131
GREENWOOD	67%	32%	1%	463
HAMPTON	79%	16%	5%	107
HORRY	79%	20%	0%	1,342
JASPER	77%	23%	0%	182
KERSHAW	61%	37%	2%	269
LANCASTER	71%	28%	1%	438
LAURENS	73%	26%	1%	617
LEE	44%	55%	1%	121
LEXINGTON	71%	27%	2%	1,189
MCCORMICK	60%	38%	2%	58
MARION	76%	21%	3%	176
MARLBORO	69%	27%	3%	182
NEWBERRY	69%	30%	0%	272
OCONEE	67%	33%	0%	458
ORANGEBURG	79%	21%	0%	536
PICKENS	70%	29%	1%	601
RICHLAND	80%	19%	1%	2,316
SALUDA	59%	38%	3%	125
SPARTANBURG	67%	32%	2%	1,812
SUMTER	65%	34%	1%	770
UNION	69%	29%	2%	300
WILLIAMSBURG	63%	36%	1%	193
YORK	71%	27%	2%	1,215
RESTITUTION CENTERS	1%	2%	98%	189
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>2%</b>	
<b>ACTIVE PROBATIONERS</b>	<b>18,912</b>	<b>7,175</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>26,582</b>



# ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION

FIGURE 3



**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 4-B**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL RATE</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL RATE</b>
ABBEVILLE	53	61%	34	39%
AIKEN	358	68%	169	32%
ALLENDALE	25	61%	16	39%
ANDERSON	349	58%	248	42%
BAMBERG	55	74%	19	26%
BARNWELL	50	60%	33	40%
BEAUFORT	178	62%	109	38%
BERKELEY	290	74%	103	26%
CALHOUN	41	67%	20	33%
CHARLESTON	899	67%	447	33%
CHEROKEE	210	73%	77	27%
CHESTER	79	66%	40	34%
CHESTERFIELD	68	56%	54	44%
CLARENDON	70	59%	48	41%
COLLETON	88	73%	33	27%
DARLINGTON	96	49%	98	51%
DILLON	84	61%	53	39%
DORCHESTER	167	62%	101	38%
EDGEFIELD	45	58%	32	42%
FAIRFIELD	52	68%	25	32%
FLORENCE	388	67%	189	33%
GEORGETOWN	190	71%	79	29%
GREENVILLE	697	61%	437	39%
GREENWOOD	192	60%	130	40%
HAMPTON	30	61%	19	39%
HORRY	549	68%	256	32%
JASPER	57	77%	17	23%
KERSHAW	103	56%	82	44%
LANCASTER	149	71%	62	29%
LAURENS	197	66%	102	34%
LEE	60	65%	33	35%
LEXINGTON	433	73%	161	27%
MCCORMICK	36	67%	18	33%
MARION	91	69%	40	31%
MARLBORO	78	53%	70	47%
NEWBERRY	81	60%	53	40%
OCONEE	134	67%	67	33%
ORANGEBURG	279	77%	82	23%
PICKENS	189	73%	71	27%
RICHLAND	694	60%	469	40%
SALUDA	42	55%	34	45%
SPARTANBURG	613	67%	301	33%
SUMTER	306	62%	190	38%
UNION	103	62%	63	38%
WILLIAMSBURG	95	60%	63	40%
YORK	363	64%	206	36%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	116	97%	3	3%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>9,522</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>5,056</b>	<b>35%</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE\*  
TABLE 5-B**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	35	32%	73	68%
AIKEN	145	35%	272	65%
ALLENDALE	19	42%	26	58%
ANDERSON	168	24%	520	76%
BAMBERG	13	26%	37	74%
BARNWELL	28	41%	41	59%
BEAUFORT	119	37%	207	63%
BERKELEY	174	38%	288	62%
CALHOUN	15	28%	39	72%
CHARLESTON	461	33%	934	67%
CHEROKEE	97	35%	178	65%
CHESTER	27	35%	51	65%
CHESTERFIELD	39	30%	91	70%
CLARENDON	40	33%	83	67%
COLLETON	47	47%	52	53%
DARLINGTON	53	29%	129	71%
DILLON	56	37%	95	63%
DORCHESTER	146	36%	260	64%
EDGEFIELD	26	28%	68	72%
FAIRFIELD	20	32%	42	68%
FLORENCE	150	35%	277	65%
GEORGETOWN	102	33%	203	67%
GREENVILLE	371	23%	1233	77%
GREENWOOD	93	33%	190	67%
HAMPTON	26	47%	29	53%
HORRY	282	30%	650	70%
JASPER	30	36%	54	64%
KERSHAW	61	44%	78	56%
LANCASTER	112	34%	220	66%
LAURENS	96	26%	279	74%
LEE	29	33%	59	67%
LEXINGTON	260	32%	544	68%
MCCORMICK	9	23%	31	78%
MARION	49	36%	89	64%
MARLBORO	55	43%	74	57%
NEWBERRY	61	32%	131	68%
OCONEE	107	35%	202	65%
ORANGEBURG	106	35%	199	65%
PICKENS	98	32%	207	68%
RICHLAND	393	33%	812	67%
SALUDA	14	23%	48	77%
SPARTANBURG	228	24%	735	76%
SUMTER	141	33%	292	67%
UNION	47	28%	119	72%
WILLIAMSBURG	28	29%	70	71%
YORK	234	33%	474	67%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	4	17%	20	83%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>4,914</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>10,805</b>	<b>69%</b>

*Section C*  
*Parole*



## Parole

---

**PAROLE:** The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the SC Board of Paroles and Pardons. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his/her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he/she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration.

Tables 1-C and 2-C pertain to data on all parole case admissions for FY 04-05. Parole includes regular parole, intensive parole and provisional parole. One hundred thirty eight (138) early release offenders were also included in parole for FY 04-05.

Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. A larger percent of parole admissions, 27%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 3% for probation admissions and 2% for YOA admissions.

Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males, 89%, with a racial composition of 66% black, 2% other, and 32% white.

Table 3-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2005. This figure does not include new and unclassified offenders. Among parolees, administrative supervision offenders represented 66% of the parolee population, followed by high level at 22%. Intensive supervision accounted for 12% of the parolee population.

Table 4-C presents parole case closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). The overall success rate for parolees (81%) was somewhat higher than that of probationers (65%).

Table 5-C describes the parole population by age category.

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 1-C**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>OMNIBUS VIOLENT</b>	<b>PERCENT VIOLENT</b>	<b>NONVIOLENT</b>	<b>PERCENT NONVIOLENT</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
ABBEVILLE	7	30%	16	70%	23
AIKEN	18	29%	44	71%	62
ALLENDALE	0	0%	4	100%	4
ANDERSON	36	33%	74	67%	110
BAMBERG	2	22%	7	78%	9
BARNWELL	3	27%	8	73%	11
BEAUFORT	14	35%	26	65%	40
BERKELEY	17	36%	30	64%	47
CALHOUN	1	20%	4	80%	5
CHARLESTON	56	43%	74	57%	130
CHEROKEE	6	21%	22	79%	28
CHESTER	4	27%	11	73%	15
CHESTERFIELD	3	13%	20	87%	23
CLARENDON	3	14%	19	86%	22
COLLETON	3	18%	14	82%	17
DARLINGTON	13	34%	25	66%	38
DILLON	2	9%	20	91%	22
DORCHESTER	19	43%	25	57%	44
EDGEFIELD	2	20%	8	80%	10
FAIRFIELD	4	50%	4	50%	8
FLORENCE	19	19%	80	81%	99
GEORGETOWN	11	29%	27	71%	38
GREENVILLE	64	26%	179	74%	243
GREENWOOD	10	22%	36	78%	46
HAMPTON	1	13%	7	88%	8
HORRY	29	28%	76	72%	105
JASPER	7	35%	13	65%	20
KERSHAW	6	21%	22	79%	28
LANCASTER	12	57%	9	43%	21
LAURENS	7	14%	43	86%	50
LEE	5	36%	9	64%	14
LEXINGTON	17	27%	45	73%	62
MCCORMICK	2	33%	4	67%	6
MARION	6	18%	27	82%	33
MARLBORO	6	22%	21	78%	27
NEWBERRY	5	20%	20	80%	25
OCONEE	6	15%	34	85%	40
ORANGEBURG	18	26%	50	74%	68
PICKENS	7	17%	35	83%	42
RICHLAND	58	28%	151	72%	209
SALUDA	1	10%	9	90%	10
SPARTANBURG	39	29%	95	71%	134
SUMTER	22	30%	51	70%	73
UNION	4	13%	26	87%	30
WILLIAMSBURG	7	26%	20	74%	27
YORK	26	19%	108	81%	134
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	5	25%	15	75%	20
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>613</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>1,667</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>2,280</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-C  
N=2,280**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT OTHER</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	65%	0%	35%
AIKEN	89%	11%	53%	5%	42%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	85%	15%	45%	0%	55%
BAMBERG	78%	22%	89%	0%	11%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	64%	0%	36%
BEAUFORT	98%	3%	73%	5%	23%
BERKELEY	91%	9%	60%	2%	38%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	60%	0%	40%
CHARLESTON	93%	7%	78%	2%	20%
CHEROKEE	82%	18%	57%	0%	43%
CHESTER	93%	7%	60%	0%	40%
CHESTERFIELD	83%	17%	65%	0%	35%
CLARENDON	91%	9%	55%	0%	45%
COLLETON	82%	18%	71%	0%	29%
DARLINGTON	95%	5%	71%	0%	29%
DILLON	77%	23%	73%	5%	23%
DORCHESTER	93%	7%	55%	0%	45%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
FAIRFIELD	88%	13%	50%	0%	50%
FLORENCE	92%	8%	84%	0%	16%
GEORGETOWN	97%	3%	76%	0%	24%
GREENVILLE	87%	13%	62%	2%	37%
GREENWOOD	87%	13%	70%	4%	26%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	88%	0%	13%
HORRY	92%	8%	55%	3%	42%
JASPER	90%	10%	70%	0%	30%
KERSHAW	93%	7%	68%	0%	32%
LANCASTER	100%	0%	38%	5%	57%
LAURENS	72%	28%	46%	4%	50%
LEE	93%	7%	79%	0%	21%
LEXINGTON	92%	8%	61%	2%	37%
MCCORMICK	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
MARION	85%	15%	85%	0%	15%
MARLBORO	93%	7%	63%	4%	33%
NEWBERRY	92%	8%	72%	0%	28%
OCONEE	88%	13%	43%	3%	55%
ORANGEBURG	88%	12%	88%	0%	12%
PICKENS	79%	21%	21%	0%	79%
RICHLAND	83%	17%	85%	1%	13%
SALUDA	100%	0%	70%	10%	20%
SPARTANBURG	83%	17%	59%	2%	39%
SUMTER	97%	3%	86%	0%	14%
UNION	73%	27%	67%	0%	33%
WILLIAMSBURG	96%	4%	96%	0%	4%
YORK	93%	7%	59%	2%	39%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	80%	20%	40%	10%	50%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>32%</b>


**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL  
OF SUPERVISION, JUNE 30, 2004  
TABLE 3-C**

COUNTY	ADMIN	HIGH	INTENSIVE	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	77%	17%	6%	35
AIKEN	70%	19%	11%	122
ALLENDALE	75%	25%	0%	8
ANDERSON	66%	21%	13%	188
BAMBERG	69%	23%	8%	13
BARNWELL	50%	41%	9%	22
BEAUFORT	64%	23%	13%	53
BERKELEY	59%	35%	5%	74
CALHOUN	83%	17%	0%	6
CHARLESTON	58%	30%	12%	233
CHEROKEE	71%	17%	13%	48
CHESTER	54%	21%	25%	28
CHESTERFIELD	47%	41%	12%	17
CLARENDON	76%	20%	5%	41
COLLETON	61%	35%	3%	31
DARLINGTON	58%	30%	13%	64
DILLON	77%	6%	17%	35
DORCHESTER	65%	15%	21%	62
EDGEFIELD	61%	33%	6%	18
FAIRFIELD	75%	25%	0%	20
FLORENCE	65%	21%	14%	175
GEORGETOWN	58%	19%	23%	53
GREENVILLE	70%	17%	14%	428
GREENWOOD	66%	22%	12%	67
HAMPTON	71%	21%	7%	14
HORRY	58%	28%	14%	163
JASPER	75%	19%	6%	32
KERSHAW	58%	29%	13%	48
LANCASTER	61%	25%	14%	36
LAURENS	74%	19%	8%	102
LEE	57%	36%	7%	28
LEXINGTON	61%	22%	17%	145
MCCORMICK	50%	38%	13%	8
MARION	69%	7%	24%	45
MARLBORO	59%	28%	13%	39
NEWBERRY	69%	18%	13%	45
OCONEE	74%	24%	2%	66
ORANGEBURG	77%	15%	8%	118
PICKENS	69%	22%	9%	77
RICHLAND	76%	11%	14%	376
SALUDA	24%	52%	24%	21
SPARTANBURG	67%	21%	12%	227
SUMTER	58%	27%	15%	124
UNION	71%	19%	10%	42
WILLIAMSBURG	58%	30%	12%	57
YORK	63%	25%	11%	204
RESTITUTION CENTERS	0%	50%	50%	6
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>12%</b>	
<b>ACTIVE PAROLEES</b>	<b>2,551</b>	<b>832</b>	<b>481</b>	<b>3,864</b>



# ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION

FIGURE 4



**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 4-C**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	10	91%	1	9%
AIKEN	29	83%	6	17%
ALLENDALE	1	50%	1	50%
ANDERSON	67	77%	20	23%
BAMBERG	3	60%	2	40%
BARNWELL	2	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	22	92%	2	8%
BERKELEY	28	82%	6	18%
CALHOUN	3	75%	1	25%
CHARLESTON	76	83%	16	17%
CHEROKEE	18	72%	7	28%
CHESTER	8	73%	3	27%
CHESTERFIELD	15	75%	5	25%
CLARENDON	14	67%	7	33%
COLLETON	10	91%	1	9%
DARLINGTON	33	92%	3	8%
DILLON	12	67%	6	33%
DORCHESTER	19	83%	4	17%
EDGEFIELD	3	60%	2	40%
FAIRFIELD	5	100%	0	0%
FLORENCE	65	79%	17	21%
GEORGETOWN	22	65%	12	35%
GREENVILLE	119	78%	34	22%
GREENWOOD	26	93%	2	7%
HAMPTON	7	88%	1	13%
HORRY	57	79%	15	21%
JASPER	9	90%	1	10%
KERSHAW	14	67%	7	33%
LANCASTER	10	83%	2	17%
LAURENS	31	97%	1	3%
LEE	8	89%	1	11%
LEXINGTON	49	82%	11	18%
MCCORMICK	3	60%	2	40%
MARION	13	59%	9	41%
MARLBORO	9	75%	3	25%
NEWBERRY	22	85%	4	15%
OCONEE	29	91%	3	9%
ORANGEBURG	44	86%	7	14%
PICKENS	37	86%	6	14%
RICHLAND	116	89%	15	11%
SALUDA	6	67%	3	33%
SPARTANBURG	91	81%	21	19%
SUMTER	47	76%	15	24%
UNION	15	71%	6	29%
WILLIAMSBURG	16	76%	5	24%
YORK	64	81%	15	19%
TRANSITIONAL ADMIN.	22	96%	1	4%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1329</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>19%</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE  
TABLE 5-C**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	8	35%	15	65%
AIKEN	10	16%	52	84%
ALLENDALE	2	50%	2	50%
ANDERSON	21	19%	89	81%
BAMBERG	1	11%	8	89%
BARNWELL	1	9%	10	91%
BEAUFORT	10	25%	30	75%
BERKELEY	8	17%	39	83%
CALHOUN	1	20%	4	80%
CHARLESTON	16	12%	114	88%
CHEROKEE	6	21%	22	79%
CHESTER	4	27%	11	73%
CHESTERFIELD	5	22%	18	78%
CLARENDON	3	14%	19	86%
COLLETON	3	18%	14	82%
DARLINGTON	10	26%	28	74%
DILLON	6	27%	16	73%
DORCHESTER	10	23%	34	77%
EDGEFIELD	1	10%	9	90%
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	8	100%
FLORENCE	36	36%	63	64%
GEORGETOWN	10	26%	28	74%
GREENVILLE	29	12%	214	88%
GREENWOOD	9	20%	37	80%
HAMPTON	2	25%	6	75%
HORRY	20	19%	85	81%
JASPER	6	30%	14	70%
KERSHAW	8	29%	20	71%
LANCASTER	4	19%	17	81%
LAURENS	9	18%	41	82%
LEE	4	29%	10	71%
LEXINGTON	14	23%	48	77%
MCCORMICK	0	0%	6	100%
MARION	9	27%	24	73%
MARLBORO	8	30%	19	70%
NEWBERRY	3	12%	22	88%
OCONEE	5	13%	35	88%
ORANGEBURG	16	24%	52	76%
PICKENS	6	14%	36	86%
RICHLAND	41	20%	168	80%
SALUDA	3	30%	7	70%
SPARTANBURG	15	11%	119	89%
SUMTER	21	29%	52	71%
UNION	5	17%	25	83%
WILLIAMSBURG	6	22%	21	78%
YORK	34	25%	100	75%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	4	20%	16	80%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>1827</b>	<b>80%</b>

*Section D*  
*Youthful Offender*



## Youthful Offender Conditional Release

---

**YOUTHFUL OFFENDER CONDITIONAL RELEASE:** Inmates ages 17 through 24, sentenced under the state's Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration (not to exceed six years) within the S.C. Department of Corrections (SCDC), may be conditionally released prior to that time, based on offense, category, adjustment and evaluation while incarcerated. While under SCDPPPS supervision, these offenders remain under the jurisdiction of SCDC.

Tables 1-D and 2-D present data on all YOA case admissions for FY 04-05.

Table 1-D displays YOA admissions by type of offense. YOA violent admissions at 2% are smaller than those admitted to probation at 3%.

Table 2-D illustrates YOA admissions by gender and race. YOA admissions were predominately male (97%) and black (70%).

Table 3-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for YOA conditional release in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2005. Twenty three percent (23%) are supervised at a high level. Intensive level supervision accounted for 21%, while 56% are supervised at administrative level.

Table 4-D shows YOA offenders are more inclined to close unsuccessfully (52%) than parolees (19%).

Table 5-D describes YOA admissions by age category.

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
YOA ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 1-D**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	5	100%	5
AIKEN	2	6%	29	94%	31
ALLENDALE	0	0%	7	100%	7
ANDERSON	3	7%	43	93%	46
BAMBERG	1	17%	5	83%	6
BARNWELL	1	10%	9	90%	10
BEAUFORT	0	0%	19	100%	19
BERKELEY	0	0%	45	100%	45
CALHOUN	0	0%	7	100%	7
CHARLESTON	3	1%	217	99%	220
CHEROKEE	1	6%	17	94%	18
CHESTER	1	5%	18	95%	19
CHESTERFIELD	0	0%	21	100%	21
CLARENDON	0	0%	14	100%	14
COLLETON	0	0%	13	100%	13
DARLINGTON	0	0%	22	100%	22
DILLON	0	0%	19	100%	19
DORCHESTER	1	3%	38	97%	39
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	10	100%	10
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	10	100%	10
FLORENCE	1	2%	63	98%	64
GEORGETOWN	0	0%	18	100%	18
GREENVILLE	2	2%	88	98%	90
GREENWOOD	0	0%	48	100%	48
HAMPTON	0	0%	5	100%	5
HORRY	1	3%	36	97%	37
JASPER	0	0%	17	100%	17
KERSHAW	0	0%	11	100%	11
LANCASTER	1	5%	19	95%	20
LAURENS	0	0%	27	100%	27
LEE	0	0%	4	100%	4
LEXINGTON	0	0%	25	100%	25
MCCORMICK	0	0%	2	100%	2
MARION	0	0%	23	100%	23
MARLBORO	0	0%	21	100%	21
NEWBERRY	0	0%	15	100%	15
OCONEE	1	7%	13	93%	14
ORANGEBURG	1	3%	34	97%	35
PICKENS	0	0%	23	100%	23
RICHLAND	1	1%	114	99%	115
SALUDA	0	0%	9	100%	9
SPARTANBURG	1	1%	78	99%	79
SUMTER	0	0%	48	100%	48
UNION	0	0%	15	100%	15
WILLIAMSBURG	1	9%	10	91%	11
YORK	1	1%	79	99%	80
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	0	0%	4	100%	4
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1,417</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>1,441</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
YOA ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-D  
N=1,441**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT OTHER</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	60%	0%	40%
AIKEN	97%	3%	61%	0%	39%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	98%	2%	48%	0%	52%
BAMBERG	83%	17%	67%	0%	33%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	70%	0%	30%
BEAUFORT	84%	16%	74%	5%	21%
BERKELEY	96%	4%	67%	0%	33%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	86%	0%	14%
CHARLESTON	100%	0%	87%	1%	12%
CHEROKEE	100%	0%	56%	0%	44%
CHESTER	89%	11%	63%	0%	37%
CHESTERFIELD	100%	0%	57%	0%	43%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	93%	0%	7%
COLLETON	92%	8%	62%	0%	38%
DARLINGTON	100%	0%	91%	0%	9%
DILLON	100%	0%	89%	0%	11%
DORCHESTER	100%	0%	62%	0%	38%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
FAIRFIELD	80%	20%	90%	0%	10%
FLORENCE	97%	3%	88%	0%	13%
GEORGETOWN	100%	0%	78%	0%	22%
GREENVILLE	100%	0%	60%	1%	39%
GREENWOOD	98%	2%	77%	0%	23%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
HORRY	100%	0%	46%	0%	54%
JASPER	94%	6%	59%	0%	41%
KERSHAW	100%	0%	36%	0%	64%
LANCASTER	95%	5%	30%	0%	70%
LAURENS	96%	4%	48%	0%	52%
LEE	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
LEXINGTON	96%	4%	36%	4%	60%
MCCORMICK	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
MARION	96%	4%	96%	0%	4%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	90%	0%	10%
NEWBERRY	87%	13%	93%	0%	7%
OCONEE	100%	0%	7%	0%	93%
ORANGEBURG	97%	3%	89%	0%	11%
PICKENS	100%	0%	26%	0%	74%
RICHLAND	93%	7%	90%	1%	9%
SALUDA	89%	11%	78%	0%	22%
SPARTANBURG	96%	4%	62%	0%	38%
SUMTER	96%	4%	69%	2%	29%
UNION	87%	13%	47%	0%	53%
WILLIAMSBURG	100%	0%	91%	0%	9%
YORK	91%	9%	55%	0%	45%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>29%</b>

Percent may not equal 100 due to rounding.


**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
ACTIVE YOA OFFENDERS BY LEVEL  
OF SUPERVISION, JUNE 30, 2005  
TABLE 3-D**

COUNTY	ADMIN	HIGH	INTENSIVE	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	50%	50%	0%	6
AIKEN	56%	19%	26%	70
ALLENDALE	25%	75%	0%	4
ANDERSON	59%	23%	18%	71
BAMBERG	50%	33%	17%	6
BARNWELL	64%	18%	18%	22
BEAUFORT	65%	12%	23%	26
BERKELEY	66%	20%	14%	91
CALHOUN	33%	42%	25%	12
CHARLESTON	44%	33%	23%	275
CHEROKEE	47%	21%	32%	34
CHESTER	39%	11%	50%	18
CHESTERFIELD	47%	21%	32%	19
CLARENDON	48%	24%	28%	25
COLLETON	44%	28%	28%	25
DARLINGTON	70%	13%	17%	30
DILLON	58%	25%	17%	24
DORCHESTER	66%	13%	21%	67
EDGEFIELD	56%	22%	22%	9
FAIRFIELD	45%	45%	9%	11
FLORENCE	54%	29%	17%	82
GEORGETOWN	70%	17%	13%	23
GREENVILLE	57%	26%	16%	152
GREENWOOD	56%	30%	14%	66
HAMPTON	56%	0%	44%	9
HORRY	52%	21%	27%	62
JASPER	55%	34%	10%	29
KERSHAW	47%	29%	24%	17
LANCASTER	41%	44%	15%	27
LAURENS	61%	24%	16%	51
LEE	20%	80%	0%	5
LEXINGTON	55%	32%	13%	31
MCCORMICK	33%	33%	33%	3
MARION	58%	8%	33%	24
MARLBORO	56%	15%	30%	27
NEWBERRY	68%	20%	12%	25
OCONEE	59%	33%	7%	27
ORANGEBURG	77%	14%	9%	98
PICKENS	52%	39%	9%	33
RICHLAND	72%	11%	17%	214
SALUDA	20%	33%	47%	15
SPARTANBURG	43%	24%	33%	83
SUMTER	42%	25%	33%	64
UNION	48%	28%	24%	25
WILLIAMSBURG	59%	27%	14%	22
YORK	64%	14%	22%	113
RESTITUTION CENTERS	0%	0%	100%	6
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>2,178</b>
<b>ACTIVE YOA OFFENDERS</b>	<b>1,225</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>2,178</b>



# ACTIVE YOA OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION

FIGURE 5



**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
YOA CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 4-D**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	4	50%	4	50%
AIKEN	26	87%	4	13%
ALLENDALE	4	36%	7	64%
ANDERSON	14	40%	21	60%
BAMBERG	2	33%	4	67%
BARNWELL	5	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	11	37%	19	63%
BERKELEY	25	56%	20	44%
CALHOUN	1	50%	1	50%
CHARLESTON	86	43%	115	57%
CHEROKEE	14	54%	12	46%
CHESTER	10	50%	10	50%
CHESTERFIELD	7	44%	9	56%
CLARENDON	4	50%	4	50%
COLLETON	10	56%	8	44%
DARLINGTON	17	49%	18	51%
DILLON	14	70%	6	30%
DORCHESTER	16	44%	20	56%
EDGEFIELD	4	44%	5	56%
FAIRFIELD	7	64%	4	36%
FLORENCE	35	54%	30	46%
GEORGETOWN	9	47%	10	53%
GREENVILLE	40	49%	41	51%
GREENWOOD	7	25%	21	75%
HAMPTON	4	80%	1	20%
HORRY	23	56%	18	44%
JASPER	0	0%	3	100%
KERSHAW	6	40%	9	60%
LANCASTER	11	44%	14	56%
LAURENS	12	57%	9	43%
LEE	3	60%	2	40%
LEXINGTON	16	47%	18	53%
MCCORMICK	1	0%	1	0%
MARION	21	54%	18	46%
MARLBORO	11	48%	12	52%
NEWBERRY	8	53%	7	47%
OCONEE	5	31%	11	69%
ORANGEBURG	26	48%	28	52%
PICKENS	20	71%	8	29%
RICHLAND	34	43%	46	58%
SALUDA	2	29%	5	71%
SPARTANBURG	23	28%	58	72%
SUMTER	11	39%	17	61%
UNION	7	64%	4	36%
WILLIAMSBURG	5	71%	2	29%
YORK	35	49%	36	51%
TRANSITIONAL ADMIN	6	100%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>720</b>	<b>52%</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
YOA ADMISSIONS BY AGE  
TABLE 5-D**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	5	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	26	84%	5	16%
ALLENDALE	4	57%	3	43%
ANDERSON	41	89%	5	11%
BAMBERG	6	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	9	90%	1	10%
BEAUFORT	17	89%	2	11%
BERKELEY	35	78%	10	22%
CALHOUN	6	86%	1	14%
CHARLESTON	190	86%	30	14%
CHEROKEE	15	83%	3	17%
CHESTER	14	74%	5	26%
CHESTERFIELD	19	90%	2	10%
CLARENDON	11	79%	3	21%
COLLETON	12	92%	1	8%
DARLINGTON	20	91%	2	9%
DILLON	18	95%	1	5%
DORCHESTER	34	87%	5	13%
EDGEFIELD	8	80%	2	20%
FAIRFIELD	9	90%	1	10%
FLORENCE	59	92%	5	8%
GEORGETOWN	17	94%	1	6%
GREENVILLE	79	88%	11	12%
GREENWOOD	39	81%	9	19%
HAMPTON	4	80%	1	20%
HORRY	28	76%	9	24%
JASPER	16	94%	1	6%
KERSHAW	9	82%	2	18%
LANCASTER	19	95%	1	5%
LAURENS	25	93%	2	7%
LEE	3	75%	1	25%
LEXINGTON	22	88%	3	12%
MCCORMICK	2	100%	0	0%
MARION	21	91%	2	9%
MARLBORO	20	95%	1	5%
NEWBERRY	14	93%	1	7%
OCONEE	10	71%	4	29%
ORANGEBURG	27	77%	8	23%
PICKENS	21	91%	2	9%
RICHLAND	101	88%	14	12%
SALUDA	9	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	58	73%	21	27%
SUMTER	39	81%	9	19%
UNION	15	100%	0	0%
WILLIAMSBURG	10	91%	1	9%
YORK	64	80%	16	20%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	3	75%	1	25%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,233</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>14%</b>

# *Section E*

## *Violations*



## Violations

---

**VIOLATIONS:** Offenders charged by their supervising agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through an administrative hearing process to determine if probable cause of a violation exists. If a violation is found, a determination is made as to which community sanctions should be imposed, or whether the case should be referred to the Board or the Court for revocation action.

Table 1-E provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, a total of 4,764 violation hearings were held. At those hearings, 2,396 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 2,368 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-E provides a comparison of changes in active population and the type of closure for FY 99 to FY 05.

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY  
TABLE 1-E**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CASES HEARD</b>	<b>CASES CONTINUED OR RECOMMENDED FOR CONTINUATION</b>	<b>CASES REVOKED OR RECOMMENDED FOR REVOCATION</b>
ABBEVILLE	0	0	0
AIKEN	149	93	56
ALLENDALE	49	21	28
ANDERSON	219	134	85
BAMBERG	43	19	24
BARNWELL	43	24	19
BEAUFORT	61	17	44
BERKELEY	166	107	59
CALHOUN	54	23	31
CHARLESTON	678	194	484
CHEROKEE	124	81	43
CHESTER	25	13	12
CHESTERFIELD	33	17	16
CLARENDON	60	21	39
COLLETON	36	7	29
DARLINGTON	57	33	24
DILLON	37	23	14
DORCHESTER	135	49	86
EDGEFIELD	14	8	6
FAIRFIELD	18	14	4
FLORENCE	135	82	53
GEORGETOWN	34	15	19
GREENVILLE	422	259	163
GREENWOOD	114	68	46
HAMPTON	24	10	14
HORRY	59	26	33
JASPER	34	23	11
KERSHAW	92	61	31
LANCASTER	52	16	36
LAURENS	53	31	22
LEE	23	9	14
LEXINGTON	256	164	92
MCCORMICK	46	32	14
MARION	41	13	28
MARLBORO	19	8	11
NEWBERRY	105	51	54
OCONEE	77	41	36
ORANGEBURG	207	98	109
PICKENS	62	44	18
RICHLAND	203	72	131
SALUDA	65	37	28
SPARTANBURG	253	126	127
SUMTER	131	75	56
UNION	65	29	36
WILLIAMSBURG	54	42	12
YORK	137	66	71
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>4,764</b>	<b>2,396</b>	<b>2,368</b>

**SC DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
CLOSING BY TYPE  
TABLE 2-E**

**FY 04-05**

	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	26,955	9,522	21	24	513	4,399	99	5,056
Parole	2,848	907	8	0	29	235	13	285
YOA	2,191	662	11	0	72	597	40	720
Other Releases	1,047	422	10	0	0	17	0	27
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,041</b>	<b>11,513</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>5,248</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>6,088</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.8%	0.4%	10.1%	86.2%	2.5%	

**FY 03-04**

	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	27,172	10,835	19	21	504	4,786	89	5,419
Parole	2,840	943	14	0	27	306	7	354
YOA	2,314	499	4	0	85	648	36	773
Other Releases	779	351	5	0	0	21	0	26
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,105</b>	<b>12,628</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>5,761</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>6,572</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.6%	0.3%	9.4%	87.7%	2.0%	

**FY 02-03**

	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	28,090	11,320	18	34	553	4,650	82	5,337
Parole	2,898	984	13	0	50	322	9	394
YOA	2,104	554	5	0	106	642	62	815
Other Releases	617	328	4	0	0	36	0	40
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,709</b>	<b>13,190</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>709</b>	<b>5,650</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>6,586</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.6%	0.5%	10.8%	85.8%	2.3%	

**FY 01-02**

	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	28,215	11,399	8	54	466	4,211	92	4,831
Parole	3,279	1,000	6	0	38	345	17	406
YOA	2,180	600	5	0	95	611	49	760
Other Releases	450	391	1	0	1	31	0	33
<b>Total</b>	<b>34,124</b>	<b>13,390</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>5,198</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>6,030</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.3%	0.9%	10.0%	86.2%	2.6%	

**FY 00-01**

	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	28,679	12,491	9	46	458	4,143	117	4,773
Parole	3,427	991	3	0	35	314	16	368
YOA	2,326	548	1	0	112	632	49	794
Other Releases	363	441	5	0	0	49	0	54
<b>Total</b>	<b>34,795</b>	<b>14,471</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>5,138</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>5,989</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.3%	0.8%	10.1%	85.8%	3.0%	

**FY 99-00**

	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	29,982	12,267	2	39	579	4,496	142	5,258
Parole	3,346	849	5	0	34	355	18	412
YOA	2,453	553	1	0	116	522	67	706
Other Release	424	454	3	0	0	53	1	57
<b>Total</b>	<b>36,205</b>	<b>14,123</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>5,426</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>6,433</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.2%	0.6%	11.3%	84.3%	3.5%	

# *Summary*





## Summary

---

Chart 1 summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs, Probation, Parole and YOA as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

The proportion of violent offenses among YOA admissions and probationers during FY 04-05 was small, ranging from 2% to 3%, with the percentage of violent offenses for parole admissions at 27%, higher than for any other offender category.

Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was administrative (70%), followed by high (26%), and intensive (4%) for all cases.

The overall success rate for closures was 65% during the fiscal year. The overall success rate for parolees was 81%. Both probationers (65%) and YOA offenders (48%), had less successful closures rates than parolees.

Of the 14,286 offenders that were tested for drug use while under our supervision, 5,818, or 40.7%, tested positive for drugs.

Chart 2 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 1987 to 2005. Admissions increased for FY 04-05 by 20.7% from the previous fiscal year, the highest number of admissions since FY 01.

Chart 3 displays violent admissions by program for fiscal year 1993 to 2005. Due to the small number of early release offenders for FY 04-05 they were included in parole. YOA offenders showed a slight decrease in violent admissions over the previous fiscal year.

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS  
CHART 1**

**ADMISSIONS**

<u>CATEGORY:</u>	Probation		Parole		YOA		Total	
	FY 04	FY 05	FY 04	FY 05	FY 04	FY 05	FY 04	FY 05
<u>RACE:</u>								
BLACK	54%	52%	68%	66%	71%	70%	57%	55%
WHITE	44%	46%	31%	32%	29%	29%	41%	43%
OTHER	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%
<u>GENDER:</u>								
MALE	81%	79%	88%	89%	96%	97%	83%	82%
FEMALE	19%	21%	12%	11%	4%	3%	12%	18%
<u>OFFENSE TYPE:</u>								
VIOLENT	2%	3%	21%	27%	3%	2%	4%	6%
NON-VIOLENT	98%	97%	79%	73%	97%	98%	96%	94%

**ACTIVES**

<u>LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:</u>								
ADMINISTRATIVE	70%	71%	66%	66%	52%	56%	68%	70%
HIGH RISK	28%	27%	23%	22%	26%	23%	27%	26%
INTENSIVE	2%	2%	12%	12%	22%	21%	4%	4%

**CLOSURES**


<u>CASE OUTCOME:</u>								
SUCCESSFUL	67%	65%	77%	81%	39%	48%	66%	65%
UNSUCCESSFUL	33%	35%	23%	19%	61%	52%	34%	35%

**DRUG TESTING**

	<u>FY 04</u>	<u>FY 05</u>
INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	15,295	14,286
INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	5,828	5,818
% OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	38.10%	40.73%
TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS	9,622	9,428
NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED	22,957	20,692


# ADMISSIONS COMPARING 1987 - 2005

## CHART 2



# VIOLENT ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM AND FISCAL YEAR

## Chart 3



◆ ALL ADMISSIONS    ▲ PROBATION    ● PAROLE    ■ YOA

Early Release included in Parole for FY 96-98, FY99-00 & FY00 thru 05

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES**

***Samuel B. Glover, Director***

**Office of Program Development and Grants Management**

***Tony Dukes - Research Director***  
***Marchar P. Stagg - Statistical & Research Analyst***  
***Emily Nance - Research Assistant***